

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,381	12/12/2003	Robert Vincent Faller	7858MRR	9771	
27752 7:	27752 7590 11/30/2006			EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			KRASS, FREDERICK F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
CINCINNATI,	CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,381	FALLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick Krass	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the maximum state of the m	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ To</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition o</li></ul>	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corraction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in <i>i</i> riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\□ Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/734,381

Art Unit: 1614

**Election of Species Requirement** 

Applicant's traversal of the election of species requirement is noted.

The arguments made in the passage bridging pages 4 and 5 of Applicant's

remarks would appear to be drawn to a restriction, not an election of species,

requirement. As such, they are not relevant to the instant election of species requirement.

Applicant is reminded that the purpose of an election of species requirement is to reduce

the burden during examination by focusing on an elected embodiment; upon a finding of

allowability for the elected species the search is extended and the non-elected species

examined at that time. This is in direct contrast to a restriction requirement, in which the

non-elected invention is permanently removed from consideration (excepting the special

situation of rejoinder practice under In re Ochai).

Accordingly, the requirement for election of species is maintained.

**Previous Rejections** 

Unless specifically maintained infra, all previous rejections are withdrawn.

**New Matter Rejection** 

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1614

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification as originally filed discloses polyphosphonates which are polyelectrolytes, *i.e.*, "polymeric mineral surface active agents". This particular subgenus of polyphosphonates, exemplified by the vinyl polymers and copolymers disclosed at the passage bridging page 6, line 26 to page 8, line 6 of the specification, possesses the requisite substantivity and hydrophilicity necessary to impart the desired conditioning effects necessary to carry out Applicant's invention. See, *e.g.*, page 6, lines 10-25.

The specification as originally filed does not describe the broader genus of "phosphonate group containing copolymer(s) or cotelomer(s)" now recited. This is far broader in scope than the more limited subgenus of polyelectolyte polyphosphonates originally disclosed.

## **Anticipation Rejection**

Claims 1-6 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaffar et al (USP 5,032,386).

This rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant argues that Gaffar does not disclose phosphonate containing copolymers or cotelomers having the activity of enhancing fluoridation and remineralization of teeth. To the contrary, Applicant continues, the instant polymers have been shown to decrease the amount of fluoride necessary to achieve the same fluoride uptake as the corresponding composition not containing those polymers; moreover, the instant polymers are hydrophilic, while Gaffar's are hydrophobic and contain adhesion enhancing groups. (Remarks, passages bridging pages 6 and 7).

The examiner does not dispute the factual veracity of these observations. A careful reading of the claims demonstrates, however, that these features are not required claim limitations.

Note, for instance, that claim 1 recites a "method for enhancing protection of teeth against caries and cavities and increasing resistance to acid demineralization associated with caries processes" in which a polyphosphonate is delivered together with a fluoride; it does <u>not</u>, however, specify which of the two components provides the results, nor does it specify what the increase is relative to. Accordingly, when the instant claims are interpreted as broadly as is reasonable, they are in fact anticipated by Gaffar, since the addition of a fluoride will increase caries resistance (and thus decrease resistance to acid demineralization caused thereby) <u>relative to the corresponding composition containing no</u> fluoride.

Similarly, it is noted that the instant claims merely recite polyphosphonates generally, and specify neither hydrophilic nor hydrophobic properties.

Art Unit: 1614

**Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection** 

Claims 1-6 and 10 were provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application 10/737,425 in view of Gaffar et al (USP 5,032,386).

This rejection is maintained, pending submission of the Terminal Disclaimer promised by Applicant. (Remarks, page 8, first paragraph).

Action is Final, Necessitated by Amendment

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1614

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Frederick Krass **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1614